

Assessing the Origins of COVID-19: Insights from the US Intelligence Community

Rohit Sharma

Mr. Rohit Sharma is a Research Analyst at MP-IDSA.

Summary

The US intelligence community unveiled a declassified report, titled "Potential Links Between the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Origin of the COVID-19 Pandemic". This legislation mandated the US Intelligence Community to disclose information regarding potential connections between the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV) and the genesis of the COVID-19 pandemic. The report detailed the intelligence community's comprehension of the WIV, its capabilities, and the actions conducted by its personnel in the period leading up to and during the initial phases of the COVID-19 outbreak. Interestingly, there appears to be a lack of consensus within the intelligence community on this matter. While four agencies lean towards the belief that the virus was transmitted from animals to humans, the Department of Energy and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) conclude that the virus originated from a "laboratory-associated incident," though for differing reasons. However, all agencies' assessments highlight that SARS-CoV-2 was not engineered as a biological weapon.

In June 2023, the US intelligence community released a declassified report that presented its assessments regarding the ongoing controversy surrounding the origins of the COVID-19 pandemic. The report, titled "Potential Links Between the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Origin of the COVID-19 Pandemic," was declassified and made public by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence in response to the COVID-19 Origin Act of 2023. This act mandated the U.S. Intelligence Community disclose information about potential links between the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV) and the origin of the COVID-19 pandemic. The report was prepared by the National Intelligence Officer for Weapons of Mass Destruction and Proliferation, which was coordinated with the intelligence community.

The institute in Wuhan has become a contentious topic in discussions surrounding the origin of the COVID-19 pandemic. For over three years, inquiries into the origins of the virus have revolved around two competing theories: one suggesting a natural transmission from infected animals to humans, and the other suggesting a "lab leak" scenario. The latter theory suggests that the virus accidentally escaped from a laboratory where researchers were studying coronaviruses, with Wuhan, China, being the likely location, given that it was the first reported cite of highly contagious respiratory illnesses in December 2019.¹ Nevertheless, the report has not furnished conclusive evidence regarding the origin, and it appears that the intelligence community itself is divided on the matter.

Declassified report

The report has outlined the intelligence community's understanding of the WIV, its

capacities, and the activities undertaken by its personnel in the period leading up to and during the initial stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. Interestingly, within the intelligence community, there appears to be a lack of consensus on the matter. The findings reveal that while four agencies are of the opinion that the virus was transmitted from animals to humans, the Department of Energy and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) assess that the virus originated from a “laboratory-associated incident”, although for different reasons.² However, the assessments from all the agencies emphasize that SARS-CoV-2 was not engineered as a biological weapon.

The report also provided partial details about the WIV stating that while the lab operates independently from the People’s Liberation Army (PLA), the assessment reveals that WIV personnel have engaged in collaborative efforts with scientists affiliated with the PLA in the realm of public health research. They have also collaborated on projects related to biosafety and biosecurity.³

The report also delved into the fact that the WIV likely maintains one of the world’s largest collections of bat samples, facilitating its research on coronaviruses. Furthermore, it mentioned that certain scientists at the WIV have employed common laboratory techniques to modify coronaviruses genetically. However, the intelligence agencies have not come across any information suggesting that the genetic engineering work conducted at the WIV involved SARS-CoV-2 or a closely related precursor of the pandemic source.⁴ The report also highlighted the likelihood of certain researchers in the laboratory not adhering to adequate biosafety measures before the pandemic, particularly when dealing with SARS-like coronaviruses. This oversight could have elevated the risk of unintentional virus exposure.

The report also noted that during the Fall of 2019, a number of researchers within the laboratory fell ill, exhibiting symptoms that were in line with, though not definitively indicative of, COVID-19. Furthermore, the intelligence report also highlighted that the laboratory retains blood samples and health records for all of its personnel. However, the assessment stated that no evidence suggests that any of these researchers required hospitalization due to symptoms consistent with COVID-19.

The report provides fresh perspectives on China’s virus research but doesn’t offer any further clarity regarding the origins of the global outbreak. This leaves the task of deciphering these complexities to experts and scholars. The revelation is unlikely to settle the intense debate surrounding the origins of the pandemic, a contentious issue that has strained relations between Washington and Beijing. To arrive at a definitive conclusion regarding the origins of COVID-19, it is highly probable that China’s cooperation would be essential. Unfortunately, Beijing has persistently impeded global investigative efforts, refrained from sharing critical information, and shifted blame onto other nations.

Why the need for such report

From the early stages of the pandemic, allegations regarding China’s involvement in the origin of the pandemic have consistently resurfaced. The controversial role played by China has been a topic of debate and discussion, especially during the US elections. These discussions have encompassed a wide range of issues, including China’s alleged disregard for biosafety regulations and its potential involvement in weaponizing the virus. The latter aspect, closely associated with a laboratory-related incident, has garnered significant attention, with some viewing it as a credible theory, while others dismiss it as a fringe conspiracy.

Interestingly, the notion that COVID-19 originated in a Chinese lab is not as marginal as some might wish to believe. Many prominent US politicians, including former President Donald Trump's senior officials, have implied that the virus may have originated in a Chinese laboratory. Furthermore, even the FBI's director, Christopher Wray, has stated that the bureau believes COVID-19 "most likely" originated in a laboratory controlled by the Chinese government.⁵

The experimental work conducted at the WIV had raised concerns even prior to the onset of the pandemic. These concerns primarily revolved around the nature of the experiments, especially those falling under the category of research known as "gain-of-function". This type of research also sparked reactions and debates within the United States during the Obama administration. The perceived risks associated with such experiments prompted President Obama to take action in 2014. He instituted a temporary halt on gain-of-function research related to influenza, SARS, and MERS, pending the establishment of a new regulatory framework for overseeing and governing these types of research activities.⁶

To examine the credibility of the accusations against China, the World Health Organization (WHO) dispatched a group of international scientists to Wuhan to investigate the origins of SARS-CoV-2. Their assessment led them to conclude that a laboratory-related incident as the source of the virus was deemed "extremely unlikely".

Upon assuming the role of US President, Joe Biden instructed the intelligence community to initiate an investigation into the origins of the COVID-19 pandemic.⁷ He emphasized his administration's commitment to

uncovering the truth regarding the pandemic's origins and stated that their efforts would continue until conclusive findings were obtained. President Biden also raised concerns about China's unwillingness to cooperate in international investigations and its reluctance to provide access to information.

Conclusion

The release of the declassified report by the U.S. intelligence community in June 2023 shed some light on the contentious issue of the origins of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it is essential to note that the report did not provide conclusive evidence and revealed a lack of consensus within the intelligence community itself. While some agencies lean towards the theory of natural transmission from animals to humans, others suggest a laboratory leak, albeit for different reasons. Importantly, all agencies emphasized that SARS-CoV-2 was not engineered as a biological weapon.

The report also highlighted certain activities and collaborations at WIV but did not definitively link the institute to the pandemic's origin. Ultimately, this report's release has not clarified the origins of the pandemic and leaves the debate ongoing. It underscores the importance of transparency and international cooperation in addressing global health crises and the challenges of navigating sensitive geopolitical issues in science and public health.

Endnotes:

- ¹ Shane Harris, Dan Diamond and Joby Warrick, New U.S. intelligence report sheds little light on covid origins, 23 June 2023, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/national-security/2023/06/23/covid-origins-us-intelligence/>

- ² Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), “Potential Links Between the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Origin of the COVID-19 Pandemic”, 23 June 2023, <https://www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/assessments/Report-on-Potential-Links-Between-the-Wuhan-Institute-of-Virology-and-the-Origins-of-COVID-19-20230623.pdf>
- ³ Ibid., p.3
- ⁴ p.4
- ⁵ BBC, “Covid origin: Why the Wuhan lab-leak theory is so disputed”, 1 March 2023, <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-china-57268111>
- ⁶ Carolyn Kormann, “The Mysterious Case of the COVID-19 Lab-Leak Theory”, The New Yorker, 12 October 2021, <https://www.newyorker.com/science/elements/the-mysterious-case-of-the-covid-19-lab-leak-theory>
- ⁷ The White House, Statement by President Joe Biden on the Investigation into the Origins of COVID-19, 27 August 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/08/27/statement-by-president-joe-biden-on-the-investigation-into-the-origins-of-covid-%E2%81%A019/>